NUMBER CDD-29					
PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE					
For Calendar Year: 2004					
Continuing					
New					
Previous Year (below line/defer)					
Issue: Board on Accessibility for the Physically Challenged					
Lead Department: Community Development					
General Plan Element or Sub-Element: Socio-Economic Element					
What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?					
A citizen suggested that a board be created of physically challenged persons to advise the City on accessibility issues. The suggestion is to have the new board be proactive in reviewing, recommending, and hearing appeals about accessibility standards throughout the City for both public and private projects. Currently, the city enforces accessibility standards as mandated by the State of California for private property. Accessibility for public property is under order of the federal ADA standards. The current standards require that accessibility upgrades be made in existing buildings with each building permit issued and new construction must be fully accessible. For public property (including sidewalks) the City has an existing proactive program to retrofit existing facilities to comply with ADA standards.					
The existing Board of Building Code Appeals currently would hear any appeal of accessibility requirements. The citizen suggestion would create a new board to handle only accessibility issues. This study would examine whether or not such Board should be created.					
How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?					
Socio-Economic Element					
Policy 5.1A.2 Strive to assure that all residents have equal access to City services.					
Action Statement 5.1H.9a. Maintain an active City policy that assures that disabled individuals have access to City programs and services.					
Action Statement 5.1H.9d. Encourage and support efforts to allow disabled individuals to live independently.					
3. Origin of issue:					
Councilmember: Howe, Fowler, Miller, Vorreiter					
General Plan:					
Staff:					

	BOARD or COMMIS	SION				
	Arts			Library		
	Bldg. Code of Appe	als		Parks & Rec.		
	CCAB			Personnel		
	Heritage & Preserva	ation		Planning		
	Housing & Human	Svcs				
	Board / Commission Ranking/Comment:					
		Board / 0	Commiss	ion ranked	of	·
4.	Due date for Continuing and Mandatory issues (if known):					
5.	Multiple Year Project? Yes ☐ No ⊠ Expected Year of Completion					
6.	Estimated work hours for completion of the study issue.					
	(a) Estimated work hours from the lead department 120				20	
	(b) Estimated work hours from consultant(s):				0	
	(c) Estimated work hours from the City Attorney's Office: 40				40	
	(d) List any other department(s) and number of work hours:					
	Department(s): Public Works 70				70	
		Finance	9			10
	Total Estimated Hours:				2	40
7.	Expected participat	ion invo	olved in th	ne study issue proces	ss?	
	(a) Does Council need to approve a work plan?				Yes 🗌	No 🖂
	(b) Does this issue require review by a Ye Board/Commission?				Yes 🛚	No 🗌
	If so, which Bo	oard/Con	nmission′	BBCA	<u>-</u>	
	(c) Is a Council Study Session anticipated? Yes ☐ No ☒ (d) What is the public participation process?					No 🖂
·	Consultation with incof Building Code Ap		hysically	challenged individuals	, meeting wi	th Board

PAGE 3 OF 3

8.	Estimated Fiscal Impact:				
٠.	Cost of Study	\$			
	Capital Budget Costs	\$			
	New Annual Operating Costs	\$To be determined by the Study.			
	New Revenues or Savings	\$			
	10 Year RAP Total	\$			
9.	Staff Recommendation				
	Recommended	I for Study			
	Against Study				
	No Recommendation ■				
direc proje	tor should also note the relat	tion if "for" or "against" study. Department ive importance of this study to other major rently working on or that are soon to begin, priorities.			
reviev	Department Director	11/5/03 Date			
appro	red by	11-10-03			
	City Manager	Date			